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**DOE-FECM Program Goals and Objectives**

**Existing FECM/NETL Research Portfolio**
- Intramural and extramural R&D, spanning the technology readiness spectrum, from new concepts to commercial technologies.
- Provide bases for the evaluation of external techno-economic analyses, technology maturation plans, technology readiness assessments, etc.
- Support quantitative R&D goal setting, strategic planning, portfolio analysis, and program evaluation efforts.
- Provide public performance and cost data for use in energy system models.

**Future FECM/NETL Research Portfolio**
- Support the future research portfolio through the identification of new energy conversion concepts and development of insight on the potential of new technology ideas.
- Assist in the establishment of new FECM programmatic thrusts (i.e. R&D opportunity, performance/cost metric establishment)
- Direct input into programmatic Funding Opportunity Announcements (FOA) and other extramural funding opportunities.
- Multi-laboratory initiative and consortium participation.

**Direct DOE-FECM Support**
- Provide rapid response analyses on an ad hoc basis for NETL and the Office of Fossil Energy and Carbon Management.
- Periodic stakeholder progress and product briefings.
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What is Techno-Economic Analysis?

• Techno-Economic Analysis (TEA) provides a methodology for systematically assessing technology states against a fixed reference case

• It is a method of analyzing the economic performance of an industrial process, product, or service including carbon-derived products.

• Objectives:
  • Guiding investment pathways for technology development
  • Quantification of R&D performance targets and priority
  • Identification of critical data gaps
  • Present summary results in an organized, concise, and visually coherent form
Why Techno-Economic Analysis?

• **What Techno-Economic Analysis Does:**
  
  • TEA informs the discovery, design, and operation of processes that benefit from systematic decision-making techniques for the often-competing goals of maximizing profits, minimizing costs, addressing market and policy drivers, and meeting environmental and technical constraints.

• Provides a **transparent** and **verifiable** cost/performance comparison to business-as-usual production methodologies when following established methodologies for critical review

• Provides uncertainty quantification

• Provides an ideal performance/cost operating configuration to serve as a target for lower TRL technologies
Techno-Economic Analysis focuses on the in-development technology, required balance-of-plant, and cost/energy state of incoming and outgoing resources and waste streams.

- Results are fed into or developed in parallel with Life Cycle Analysis (LCA), which derives the impacts of the technology from cradle-to-grave.

Example:

- TEA may consider the cost, pressure, temperature, and composition of an incoming H₂ or CO₂ stream, but LCA would be needed to assess the environmental impact of how it was generated.
- TEA doesn’t consider how process components manufacturing or end-of-life disposition.
- TEA doesn’t typically consider the end use of the product.
The methodology applied to TEA development involves the following four-step process:

1. Development of a Technology Analysis Plan
2. Creation of a Performance Model
3. Cost Estimation
4. Reporting
1. Technology Analysis Plan
   • A technology analysis plan (TAP) is a roadmap for executing a TEA
   • Discusses the approach and methodology required to conduct the TEA
   • Develops a basic process flow diagram (PFD)
   • Should be discussed with end-users prior to initiating the analysis
   • Updated as the TEA is performed

   • The Technology Analysis Plan should address the following:
     • Analysis Objective
     • Reference Case/Scenario
     • Novel Cases/Scenarios to be Evaluated
     • Novel Design Basis (performance, cost, sensitivity analysis, etc.)
     • Execution Timeline
2. Create a Performance Model

- Utilize available tools/software (ASPEN, ChemCAD, Thermoflow, etc.)
- Hold product amount constant (i.e. chemical product rate, etc.)
- Understand how balance-of-plant equipment will be affected
- Develop a detailed process flow diagram
- Include feed purity specifications, conversion rates, heat duties, rate constants, emissions potential, etc.

**Generating Useful Information:**

- Develop material and energy balances consistent with baseline procedure
- Generate similar performance/output results from model
- Supply additional detail for modeling novel equipment
  - Design equations, scaling methodology, design basis
3. Cost Estimation

- Understand accuracy of cost estimation. NETL relies of AACE Estimate Class
  - Concept Screening (-20%/+100% Accuracy)
    - 0-2% Project Definition, based on technical analogs/engineering judgment
  - Feasibility Study (-15%/+50% Accuracy)
    - 1-15% Project Definition, based on preliminary mass and energy balances
  - Budget Estimate (-10%/+30% Accuracy)
    - 10-40% Project Definition, based on detailed process and economic modeling

- Capital cost estimation, capital charge factors, contingencies, global economic assumptions, etc., should be considered

- Detailed cost estimation guidelines are provided by NETL
Step Four: Reporting

4. Reporting Methodology
   • Summary of Technology Analysis Plan and discussion with end users
   • Performance Model
     • Block Flow Diagram
     • Detailed Simulation Model
     • Material and Energy Balance
   • Cost Estimation
     • Detailed cost based on comparison metric (allowable cost of CO$_2$, cost of product generated, etc.)
     • Detailed total overnight capital cost estimates
     • Sensitivity studies

   • Report Deliverable
     • Summarize the above and include enough detail to reproduce stated results
     • Should follow prescribed guidelines/template (may be provided by DOE)
     • Detail any assumptions made during the analysis!
Additional Resources

Cost and Performance Baseline and Other TEA Examples

- NETL has conducted a wide variety of TEA of energy systems in addition to carbon conversion technologies to draw comparison from
- Available Analyses to serve as examples:
  - Combustion Systems (natural gas, biomass, and coal)
  - Gasification Systems (dry and slurry feed, coal and biomass)
  - Oxy-combustion Systems (atmospheric and elevated pressure)
  - Chemical Looping
  - Solid Oxide Fuel Cells/Solid Oxide Electrolysis Cells
  - Fuels and Chemicals (e.g., H₂, NH₃, methanol, etc.) Production from Fossil Fuels
  - Supercritical CO₂ Power Cycles (direct and indirect)
  - Process Water Treatment/Zero Liquid Discharge Systems
  - Bulk Energy Storage
  - CO₂ Capture Systems (solvent, sorbent, membrane, cryogenic)
  - Direct Air Capture
  - CO₂ Purification and Compression
  - Air Separation Units (cryogenic, ion transport membrane)
  - Hydrogen Production/Recovery
  - Combustion Turbines
  - Steam Turbines (subcritical through adv. Ultra-supercritical steam conditions)
  - CO₂ Conversion Technologies (EOR, Cements, Algal, EC, Microwave)
  - Direct Power Extraction/Magnetohydrodynamics

NETL Energy Analysis Library Link

NETL Fossil Energy Baseline Report Link
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