

# Upgrading the 45Q Tax Credit: Panel Discussion on the Carbon Capture Utilization and Storage Act

Shannon Angielski  
Coal Utilization Research Council  
USEA Briefing  
October 27, 2016

# CURC Members

## Coal Producers

Arch Coal, Inc.\*  
Cloud Peak Energy Resources LLC  
Lignite Energy Council  
Peabody Energy\*\*

## Equipment Suppliers

B&W Power Generation Group\*\*\*  
Caterpillar Global Mining  
General Electric\*\*\*  
LP Amina LLC  
Mitsubishi Heavy Industries America

## Research Organizations

Battelle  
Electric Power Research Institute  
Gas Technology Institute  
University of North Dakota Energy & Environmental Research Center

## NGOs

ClearPath Action  
CoalBlue Project

## Labor Unions

United Mine Workers of America  
International Brotherhood of Boilermakers  
International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers

## State Organizations

Energy Industries of Ohio  
Greater Pittsburgh Chamber of Commerce  
Illinois Coal Association  
Pennsylvania Coal Alliance  
Kentucky Energy & Environment Cabinet  
West Virginia Coal Association  
Wyoming Infrastructure Authority

## Trade Associations

American Coal Council  
American Coalition for Clean Coal Electricity  
Edison Electric Institute  
National Rural Electric Cooperative

## Utilities

American Electric Power  
Basin Electric Power Cooperative  
Duke Energy Services  
LG & E and KU Services Company  
Southern Company  
Tri-State Generation & Transmission\*

## Universities

Lehigh University  
Ohio State University  
Pennsylvania State University  
Southern Illinois University  
University of Kentucky / CAER  
University of Wyoming  
West Virginia University

Companies in red indicate 2016 Steering Committee Members

\* CURC 2016 Co-chairs

\*\* CURC 2016 Vice-Chair

\*\*\* CURC 2016 Leadership Council

# Why 45Q Credits are Necessary

- CCUS technology currently costs too much and has yet to be adequately demonstrated on large-scale electric generating systems
- As witnessed by the deployment curve with renewable energy technologies, we know that development of improved CCUS technologies and successive application will reduce the cost of these technologies over time
- 45Q credits will help offset the costs of adding CO<sub>2</sub> capture to a power generation facility.

# 45Q Background

- Enacted as part of the Energy Improvement and Extension Act of 2008
- Credit is equal to:
  - \$20 per metric ton for qualified CO<sub>2</sub> that is captured and disposed of in secure geological storage or
  - \$10 per metric ton for qualified CO<sub>2</sub> that is captured and used as a tertiary injectant in a qualified EOR project
- Program is capped at 75 million tons

# Challenges with Existing 45Q Program

- ~45 million of the authorized 75 million tons have already been claimed
- Cap creates financial uncertainty because it is unknown if remaining credits will be available when a project begins to inject CO<sub>2</sub>
- Credit amounts are insufficient to cover costs of CCUS on power generation and do not stimulate financing of CO<sub>2</sub> capture projects
- Eligibility criteria can be restrictive and limiting

# S. 3179, The Carbon Capture, Utilization and Storage Act

- Removes cap
- Makes credit available through 2024 (commence construction)
- Credit claiming period is 12 years
- Increases credit values over a 10 year escalation period to:
  - \$35/ton for EOR
  - \$35/ton for CO<sub>2</sub> used in non-EOR applications (CO<sub>2</sub> Utilization)
  - \$50/ton for geologic storage
- Proposes assignability to other entities involved in the project
- Modifies eligibility criteria:
  - Shifts from industrial emitter to CO<sub>2</sub> capture equipment owner
  - CO<sub>2</sub> Thresholds
    - Maintains 500,000 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> for EGUs
    - 100,000 tons for industrial emitters
    - 25,000 tons for pilot projects in which the CO<sub>2</sub> is sequestered in a utilization project