Systems thinking to inform DAC R&D needs

Delivering negative emissions under different conditions

Dr. Sean McCoy
Department of Chemical and Petroleum Engineering
Global Research Initiative on Sustainable Unconventional Resources

24 July 2019
The exigencies of the carbon budget

Carbon budget based on IPCC SR1.5, Table 2.2 (50% TCRE solid blue; 33% and 67% dashed) with historical emissions on Le Quéré et al., 2018. Dotted lines are based on an extension of cumulative emissions trends from 1990 to 2017.
Using the budget to define timelines for action

Absent a nearly immediate peak and rapid decrease in emissions to zero, carbon dioxide removal (CDR) is needed to meet the carbon budget constraint.
Three *necessary* characteristics for negative emissions technologies

1. Remove CO$_2$ from the atmosphere (or ocean)
2. Sequester this CO$_2$ permanently (or nearly), and
3. Result in the emission of less CO$_2$ (and other greenhouse gases) than removed

Smith et al., 2016
DAC needs heat and electricity in varying proportions

Data from NAS, 2018; Compression & conditioning (130 kWh/t\textsubscript{CO}_2) added
Environmental impacts of energy supply varies widely

**Upstream Natural Gas**: 9 – 35 gCO2-eq/MJ (50 – 200 kgCO2-eq/boe)

**Combustion Natural Gas**: 56 gCO2-eq/MJ

**Lifecycle Natural Gas**: 65 – 91 gCO2-eq/MJ

**US NERC Sub-regions**: 215 – 585 kgCO$_2$/MWh

**Canadian Provinces**: 1.3 – 750 kgCO$_2$/MWh

**European Countries**: 4 – 228 kgCO$_2$/MWh
Carbon intensity of energy declines in stabilization scenarios

IEA, 2018 (WEO SDS)
Implications for system negative emissions

- **Solid Sorbent**

- **Posassium Hydroxide**
Translating to a research agenda

**Low-carbon heat.** Current DAC systems require ~70-80% of their energy input in terms of heat. What fuel makes most sense from a lifecycle perspective? How could this heat provided (at the needed temperature) in a system?

**Horses for courses.** The availability of fuels will influence DAC system economics. Can DAC systems be designed to minimize life cycle emissions with advantaged fuels in mind? Can they be coupled to resources to improve economics and reduction efficiency?

**Integration of DAC into capture.** At gigaton-scales, industrial CCS and DAC would probably co-exist and infrastructure may be built around industrial CCS. Can extraction and capture be synergistically combined?

**Integration of DAC into use.** For storage, relatively high CO₂ concentrations are needed for economics and to manage storage capacity. For utilization, CO₂ is not the end product. How can DAC be better integrated into conversions?

**Upstream and non-GHG impacts.** Direct water use, hazardous sorbent degradation products, and upstream sorbent synthesis impacts will be significant at gigaton-scale. These must be minimised in technology assessment.
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