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Position paper

summarizes

• findings of 2 WG studies on DGs
• recommendations on the topic
• highlights some of the key data points
Optimal timing for PP

- apart from the large HPP (constructed several decades ago), other RETs have just started to take off

- deployment of DGs (RET) and prosumers is still at an early stage in SEE
Optimal timing for PP

- SEE aim to align with EU commitments to achieve RE shares →
governments set new targets, formulate long-term strategies & define support schemes & shrinking cost of RET

- new EU directives promote decentralized structures → generation across many smaller plants & embedded generation on business sites and residential properties
Optimal timing for PP

- DSOs are preparing for operation with increased integration of DGs (RES) ←
as in the rest of the EU, distribution networks were not originally designed with the intent to integrate DG and prosumers

- all DSOs share the need for through modernization of networks ←
considerable assets due for retirement within a decade

- of utmost importance to clarify all critical aspects of DG integration

Integrated properly → DG will help make tomorrow’s energy system more sustainable
Integrated badly → DG will increase the risk of outages and raise costs for final customers
Definitions

• **distributed generation (DG)**
  power generation units connected directly to the distribution network
  production and selling of electricity constitute DG’s primary commercial or professional activity

• **prosumers** – consumers produce and consume or store electricity within its premises
  sell self-generated electricity or participate in flexibility or energy efficiency schemes
  those activities do not constitute its primary commercial or professional activity (desire to cut costs & secure energy supply)
DG landscape – number

11 SEE DSOs - **3,396 DG units**

- **Wind**: 18
- **Solar**: 618
- **Hydro**: 2,606
- **Biogas**: 65
- **Biomass**: 44
- **Other**: 45

2014: □ 2017: □ □ 2019

increase in no. of DGs in SEE DSOs

**2014-2019**

- **Wind**: 2.0
- **Solar**: 1.7
- **Hydro**: 1.8
- **Biogas**: 3.3
- **Biomass**: 11.0
- **Other**: 1.8

in 5 years period
avrg. annual increase 300 /yr DGs
DG landscape – number (2019)

Total number of DGs in operation by type and DSO end of 2019
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Wind  Solar  Hydro  Biogas  Biomass  Other

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>CEDIS</th>
<th>EDB</th>
<th>EPBIH</th>
<th>EPHZHIB</th>
<th>EPS</th>
<th>ERS</th>
<th>EVNM</th>
<th>HEP DSO</th>
<th>KEDS</th>
<th>OSHEE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>2.097</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DG landscape – capacity additions

In 5 years period
avrg. annual increase
185 MW/yr
DG landscape – installed capacity 2019

Hydropower is the most deployed technology in terms of installed capacity.
DG landscape – production
3,7 TWh in 2019
10 TWh losses & 67 TWh customers consumption

DG production share
in gross distribution system consumption ("losses+customers")

SEE DSO SoS WG
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DG landscape – penetration in distribution network

- likelihood for DG to be curtailed in periods of min. demand
- DSOs have to make substantial investments to support the momentum of DG deployment
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How Can Southeast Europe’s Energy Distribution Grid Support the Region’s Renewable Energy Targets?

11 Key Findings and Recommendations for Leaders to Consider
1. Findings

- Networks not originally designed to handle significant amount of generation
- Ageing distribution infrastructure
- Realization of the RE targets may fail due to insufficient distribution grid capacities
- Development needs driven by DGs installation, uneven consumption trends & strict regulation of SoS → pressing DSOs to undertake costly investment cycle

Average age of transformers 29 yrs

Recommendation

- Key determinants of distribution network development sustainability: predictable, stable and transparent regulatory framework
- Full cost recovery and access to credit and capital markets needed to fund DSO investments
2. Finding

- network costs → capacity driven (peak demand)
- fixed costs in DSOs total regulatory approved revenues ~ 80%
- distribution tariffs are primarily based on the volume of electricity that is passed through the network
- fixed & capacity components under 35%

Recommendation

- network tariffs should be redesigned → gradual transition toward capacity tariffs or two-part tariffs
- better suited to account for the impact of the prosumers

Case: Croatia
Finding

- Low retail electricity prices → incentives for self-consumption in SEE are limited → net metering / billing
- Arrangement that allows consumers who also generate to ‘virtually’ consume their self-generated electricity at any time
- Detrimental to DSO revenue

Recommendation

- Net-metering should be avoided in the current volumetric tariff construct
- Net metering may be used in a transitional phase & limited to very small-scale installations, with yearly system quotas
- Allow only real time netting of withdrawals and injections (hourly)
- Investment aids preferable
4. Finding

- Prosumers contribute less to the grid cost recovery → **self-consumed** & **injected electricity** exempted from paying grid tariffs
- Prosumers remain connected to the network for back-up service in case their generator fails

**Recommendation**

- Prevent that a virtual storage capacity of distribution networks is available to prosumers free of charge
- Prosumers should pay their share of the network and other system costs
- Prosumers network costs should not be shifted to other customers
5. Finding

- SEE DSOs do not charge DGs for network usage
- DGs only pay for connection & do not participate in covering network costs: network losses, services, maintenance, metering costs, ...
- Not likely that fixed network costs will decrease with DG integration
- Variable RES require new grid investments - grid monitoring & automation

5. Recommendation

- Understanding that it is difficult to allocate the additional operating costs to each DG
- Use of system costs should be:
  1) Socialized to all network users or
  2) (Partly) allocated to the generators
- In favour of the second approach designed to provide clear price signals to the DGs for efficient system use

*Montenegro introduced distribution system charges for producers in 2020*
6. Finding

- DGs and prosumers not incentivised to use the grid in the most economically efficient way
- schemes (net-metering) lead to the over-dimensioning of on-site generation
- if electricity produced is not consumed in the neighbourhood (locally) → contributes to network losses & network congestions or excessive investment in the network

Recommendation

- network tariffs should be designed to encourage the most technically & economically efficient use of the existing infrastructure (reducing peak power flows)
Finding

- Widespread deployment of DG (especially variable RES) will lead to operational challenges.
- Voltage control, protection settings and higher network losses,..

Recommendation

- To reduce the amount of investment required, all network users (consumers, producers, prosumers) shall be motivated to shift their peak energy use to non-peak hours.
- Customers should be motivated to load-shifting activities for improved self-consumption & provision of flexibility services.

Energy management systems, home-automation, energy storage
8. **Finding**

- SEE DSOs do not rely on services provided by networks users as a feasible alternative to network investments.
- Result in a large amount of the total network capacity added & required only for a few hours per year.
- DG (RES) integration requires the provision of new services & more advanced distribution management strategy.

**Recommendation**

- SEE DSOs should embrace an active role in the implementation of new network management strategies.
- DSO require confidence and incentives to deploy new technologies (smart meters, widespread SCADA, IT and communication infrastructure, sensors,..).
9. Finding

- connection charge is a one-time payment

  primarily based on hosting capacity of the existing network

- in SEE DSOs “deep” approach prevails

- in SEE, DGs do not pay for their use of the network (system charges)

Recommendation

- socialization of connection costs should not be permitted

- adequately designed „deep” connection charging provides appropriate and harmonized locational signals (close to the to load centres)
10. **Finding**

- DSOs must authorise & conduct a grid connection study to determine the optimal connection point & necessary reinforcements.
- It can be cumbersome and time consuming → there is potential for connection procedure improvements.

**Recommendation**

- Focus on simplified methodologies for smaller DGs, transparency and public notice practices.
- Cluster grid connection requests to reduce the overall number of studies required.

*(rounds) group processing of connection requests approximately simultaneous & closely located DG requests submitted for an aggregated grid connection analysis → all DGs to share necessary reinforcements*
11. Finding

- once approved, the DSO is certifying that it is able to accommodate the DG onto its network
- no requirement for the applicant to build the DG in a timely manner (if at all)
- can result in unnecessary grid investments & backlogs in connection requests

Recommendation

- to impose enforceable deadlines to ensure applicants build their DG facilities in a timely manner (prevent "virtual saturations")

Albanian proposal of deadlines
- 0-500 kW 12 months
- 500-2000 kW 18 months
- >2000 kW 24 months
SEE DSO SoS WG
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