

USEA CONSENSUS Program Briefing: Pore Structure Alteration of Organic-Rich Shale with Sc-CO₂ Exposure

Ogochukwu Ozotta, Ph.D. candidate in Petroleum Engineering, University of North Dakota Co-authors: Kouqi Liu, Ph.D.; Thomas Gentzis, Ph.D.; Humberto Carvajal-Ortiz, Ph.D.; Bo Liu, Ph.D.; Mehdi Ostadhassan, Ph.D.; and Saeed Rafieepour, Ph.D.







Disclaimer

• The content of this presentation is proprietary information of the authors resulting from laboratory experiment performed at the University of North Dakota. The presentation content does not represent the properties and/or views of any organization.









INTRODUCTION



Introduction

- The use of fossil fuel in the past century has drastically increased CO₂ emission into the atmosphere.
- Approximately two-thirds of proven fossil fuel reserves need to remain un-extracted and CCS technology deployed for the world to achieve the 2 °C goal by 2050



NORTH DAKOTA

Introduction

- CO₂ EOR and CCS are processes that get rocks exposed to CO₂
- When CO₂ is injected into the shale layers:
- It dissolves and changes the acid-base equilibrium that triggers the dissolution and precipitation of minerals
- As a result of dissolution, flow channels can be formed in the rock, which will eventually alter the intrinsic permeability and porosity of the rock.



NORTH DAKOTA

Why Gas Adsorption



Pore structure characterization of shale

.....

- Pore structure evaluation
 - Gas adsorption (N₂ and CO₂)
 - mercury intrusion porosimetry (MIP)
 - small angle neutron scattering (SANS),
 - ultra-small angle neutron scattering (USANS)
 - nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR)
- Direct observation methods:
 - field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM)
 - atomic force microscopy (AFM)
 - microfocus X-ray computed tomography (u-CT)
 - transmission electron microscopy (TEM).

Pore structure characterization of shale

NORTH DAKC

- Gas adsorption (N₂ and CO₂)
 - Obtain an entire spectrum of pore size distribution (PSD) from submicron to the macroscale
 - Physisorption isotherm type: I(a), I(b), II, III, IV(a), IV(b), V and VI
 - Hysteresis loop pore shape categories used to determine the morphology of the pore structure: H1, H2(a), H2(b), H3, H4 and H5



THE BAKKEN



The Bakken

- Major unconventional play in the Williston Basin
- Consist of the upper, middle and • lower members
- Upper and lower member are • dark marine shale with organic content (source and seal rock in the Bakken)
- Middle member is a middle • fine-grained combination of clastic and carbonates
- Heterogenous and anisotropic •

Mississippian

Devonian



https://undeerc.org/bakken/bakkenformation.aspx

The Bakken

- Major unconventional play in the Williston Basin
- Consist of the upper, middle and lower members
- Upper and lower member are dark marine shale with organic content (source and seal rock in the Bakken)
- Middle member is a middle fine-grained combination of clastic and carbonates
- Heterogenous and anisotropic





Upper Bakken shale Member

Middle Bakken mixed carbonates siliciclastic member

Lower Bakken shale member







METHODS



Mineralogical Analysis and TOC

X–Ray Diffraction (XRD)

- Measure the mineral composition
- Quantify minerals present
- Sampling
 - Upper Bakken: UB-0, UB-3, UB-8, UB-16, UB-30, and UB-60
 - Lower Bakken: LB-0, LB-3, LB-8, LB-16, LB-30, and LB-60

Total Organic Carbon (TOC)

- Measure TOC content
- Determine the kerogen type
- Determine maturation stage.



Gas Adsorption

Nitrogen (N₂) Adsorption

- Determine
 - Pore structure distribution
 - Pore size
 - Pore diameter
 - Pore volume
 - Surface area

Fractal Analysis

- Characterize the properties of complex structure
- Using Frenkel-Halsey-Hill (FHH)





RESULTS





Mineralogy

| CO ₂ Exposure | Name | Quartz | Pyrite | Calcite | Dolomite | Clay | Others | TOC% | T _{max} ⁰C |
|--------------------------|------|--------|--------|---------|----------|-----------|--------|------|---------------------|
| days | | | | | | Minerals⊥ | | | |
| 0 day | UB-0 | 18.7 | 3.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 50.5 | 23.9 | 13.3 | 449.0 |
| 0 day | LB-0 | 53.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 9.0 | 19.0 | 13.0 | 14.4 | 448.0 |

[⊥]Clay minerals: illite + kaolinite+chlorite







| LB-60 | 42.0 4 05 07 21.4 |
|-------|-----------------------------------|
| LB-30 | 45.0 24000.027.0 |
| LB-16 | 33.03600.022.0 27.0 |
| LB-8 | 44.0 6.0 3.0 28.7 |
| LB-3 | 45.0 38.00 5.0 23.0 |
| LB-0 | 53.0 300 9. 0 3. |
| | |

0%Minerafl0C%ontent (028%)



Clay mineral Others Quartz Pyrite Dolomite LB-60 42.0 4.0 10.0 5.0 17.6 21.4 <mark>2.0</mark>2.0 4.0 LB-30 45.0 27.0 20.0 LB-16 **3.0** 6.0 33.0 9.0 22.0 27.0 LB-8 23.0 44.0 **1000.3** 28.7 **199**00142 UB-8 6.4 430 24.**86002**6.1 38.1 3.0<mark>.0</mark>.0 LB-3 45.0 25.0 23.0 83000 50.5 23.90%Minerast@ontentl@&%

53.0

30%

40%

20%

3.0 3.0

50%

Mineral Content (%)

9.0

70%

60%

UB-30

UB-0

LB-0

0%

10%

13.0

100%

90%

19.0

80%

NORTH DAKOTA

Dissolution and precipitation of minerals

| $H_2O + CO_2 \iff H_2CO_3 \iff H^+ + HCO_3^-$ | (1) |
|---|-----|
| $CaCO_{3(Calcite)} + 2H^{+} \leftrightarrow Ca^{2+} + CO_{2} + H_{2}O$ | (2) |
| $CaMg(CO_3)_{2 \text{ (Dolomite)}} + 4H^+ \rightarrow Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+} + 2CO_2 + 2H_2O$ | (3) |
| Illite + 8H ⁺ \leftrightarrow 0.6 K ⁺ + 2.3Al ³⁺ + 0.25Mg ⁺ + 3.5SiO ₂ + 5H ₂ O | (4) |
| Chlorite + $16H^+ \leftrightarrow 5Fe^{2+} + 2.3Al^{3+} + 3SiO_2 + 12H_2O$ | (5) |
| $Quartz + 4H^+ \leftrightarrow Si^{4+} + H_2O$ | (6) |

Upper Bakken N₂ Isotherm



UND NORTH DAKOTA















PRTH DAKOTA



















Lower Bakken N₂ Isotherm



NORTH DAKOTA









Pore Structure Analysis

NORTH DAKOTA

NORTH DAKOTA

NORTH DAKOTA

DISCUSSION

Weak positive linear correlation

Weak positive linear correlation

Weak negative linear correlation

Discussion

- The UB shale sample has larger quantities of clay minerals and carbonates compared to LB, while LB shale sample has more quartz.
- This explains why the LB has a larger pore volume and pore size as a result of the exposure to CO₂ causing the dissolution of the clay minerals and carbonates
- Larger adsorption capacity in the LB sample was to some extent related to the pore size, pore volume, mineral composition and TOC of the sample.
- Carbonate dissolution will increase the pore size of the shale samples, so the dissolution of carbonate exhibits a positive relationship with the increase in pore volume and the overall adsorption potential of the samples

CONCLUSION

Conclusion

- The presence of quartz seems to be playing a role in the pore size structure of the shale sample.
- The dissolution of organic matter or clay minerals in the shale after CO₂ saturation causes some of the pores to disappear or convert, thereby increasing and decreasing specific surface area
- Surface area is larger in the sample with larger TOC
- The fractal dimension increased as samples were saturated with CO₂ with peak after 8 and 16 days and decreased at 30 and 60 days for both UB and LB samples.
- Pore morphology transformation from regular to complex (dissolution) and back to more homogenous structure (precipitation).

APPRECIATION

QUESTIONS

ogochukwu.ozotta@und.edu

