



The Next Phase of the “Shale Oil Revolution”: Storing CO₂ with Shale EOR

Prepared for:
United States Energy Association
Webinar

Prepared By:
Vello A. Kuuskraa, President
Brett Murray, Project Manager
Robin Petrusak, Senior Geologist


Advanced Resources International, Inc.
Arlington, VA USA

September 23, 2020

The “Shale Oil Revolution”

The recent decade of growth in domestic shale oil production is without precedent.

U.S. Shale/Tight Oil Production (2010-2019)



- From below 1 MMBbbls per day a decade ago, shale / tight oil production reached 8 MMBbbls per day last year, equal to two-thirds of total domestic oil production.
- Four key shale oil basins:
 - Bakken
 - Eagle Ford
 - Permian (Midland)
 - Permian (Delaware)

Source: Advanced Resources International Database, 2020.

Understanding the Shale Oil Resource

While the growth of shale oil production is well documented, much less is known about other aspects of this important resource:

- *How large is the shale resource in place?*
- *How much of this large resource is recoverable with current practices?*
- *How much would the use of CO₂ injection (Shale EOR) improve shale oil recovery efficiency?*
- *How much CO₂ could be stored in shale oil formations with CO₂ EOR?*

Answering these questions is the purpose of this study, performed by Advanced Resources International and sponsored by the USEA.

How Large is the Shale Oil Resource In-Place?

1. Assessing Shale Oil Resource In-Place. Individual resource estimates were established for 51 partitioned areas in four major shale oil basins – Bakken, Eagle Ford, Permian/Midland and Permian/ Delaware– based on:


- Mapping of basin depth, gross shale interval, and productive area,
- Log-based assessments of net pay, porosity, and oil/water saturation by lithology (shale, limestone and mixed), calibrated to core,
- Compilation of other key reservoir properties, such as pressure, temperature, gas-oil ratio, oil gravity, among others.

Application of Study Methodology to Midland Basin

The Wolfcamp Shale in Midland County, at a depth of 9,500', covers a risked area of 820 mi².


Bench A has 29.7 MMbbls and Bench B has 57.9 MMbbls of shale oil resource in-place.

Deep Western Basin Area of the Wolfcamp Shale



Source: Advanced Resources International, 2020.


Midland Basin Stratigraphic Column (Wolfcamp Benches A and B were individually assessed using a series of well logs)



Rock Units	Net Pay	Porosity	Oil Saturation
Organic Shale	130	4.4%	75%
Mixed Lithology	160	5.0%	44%
Total	290	4.7%	57%

Bakken Shale Area

Bakken Shale Resources



The Williston Basin Bakken Shale was partitioned into Basin Center ND, Basin Margin ND, and Montana areas and then into counties.

Volumetric and other reservoir properties were assembled for each of the eight assessment areas.


The size of the resource in-place for the Bakken Shale is 90.8 billion barrels .

Additional resources exist in the underlying Three Forks Shale.

JAF2020_019.PPT

Eagle Ford Shale Resources

Eagle Ford Shale Oil Dominant Area



JAF2019_009.PPT

The Lower Eagle Ford Shale was partitioned into Eastern, Central and Western areas, then into: (1) condensate, (2) volatile oil, and (3) light oil areas.


Volumetric and other reservoir properties were assembled for each of the nine assessment areas.

The size of the Lower Eagle Ford Shale resource in-place is 139.3 billion barrels.

Additional resources exist in the Upper Eagle Ford Shale.

Midland Basin Wolfcamp Shale Resources

Three Geologic Partitions, Wolfcamp Shale Area



The Midland Basin Wolfcamp Shale was partitioned into Deep Western Basin, Eastern Basin Ext., and Southern Basin Ext. areas, into counties, and then into two benches (A and B).

Volumetric and other reservoir properties were assembled for each of the sixteen assessment areas.


The size of the Wolfcamp Shale resource in-place is 509.1 billion barrels.

Additional tight oil resources exist in the Sprayberry.

JAF2019_044.PPT

Delaware Basin Wolfcamp Shale Resources

Four Geologic Partitions, Delaware Wolfcamp Shale



JAF2020_028.PPT

The Delaware Basin Wolfcamp Shale was partitioned into four geographic areas, into counties, and then into two benches (A and B).

Volumetric and other reservoir properties were assembled for each of the eighteen assessment areas.

The size of the Wolfcamp Shale resource in-place is 575.7 billion barrels.

Additional tight oil resources exist in other Wolfcamp Shale benches, the Bone Spring, and the Avalon.

Shale Oil Resources In Four Basins

The shale oil resource in the four main shale oil basins, extending across 29,000 square miles, is massive---estimated at nearly 1,315 billion barrels of oil in-place.

Shale Basin/Formation		Basin Area * (mi ²)	Resource In-Place (Billion Barrels)
1. Williston Basin/Bakken Shale		10,560	90.8
2. South Texas/Eagle Ford Shale		6,130	139.3
3. Permian Basin			
•	Midland Basin/Wolfcamp Shale **	5,840	509.1
•	Delaware Basin/Wolfcamp Shale **	6,490	575.7
Total		29,020	1,314.9

*Total Risked Area by Basin.

JAF2020_021.XLS

** Resource in-place encompasses multiple benches (Wolfcamp Shale A and B benches)

How Much of the Shale Resource is Recoverable?

2. Estimating Recoverable Shale Oil Resources. Reservoir properties and well completion practices, in each of the shale basins, were calibrated using history matching with actual well performance.

- Establish a representative “type well” for primary (pressure depletion) shale oil recovery for each partition (county) in each shale basin.
- Conduct compositional reservoir modeling to calibrate essential reservoir properties and well completion practices with well performance.
- Provide recovery estimates and profiles for oil and water production for each partition (county) in each shale basin and formation.

Application of Study Methodology to Midland Basin

To estimate the recoverable shale resource of Wolfcamp Shale Bench B in a representative area in the Midland Basin, we established a Study Area with reservoir properties shown below:

Wolfcamp Shale Bench B Study Area Reservoir Properties

Reservoir Properties	Units	Reservoir Properties	Units
Pattern Area	180 acres	Initial Oil Saturation (Avg)*	
Well Pattern Dimensions		▪ Matrix/Fracture	57% / 1%
▪ Length	9,000 ft	Saturation Gas/Oil Ratio	0.85 Mcf/B
▪ Width	880 ft	Formation Volume Factor	1.42 RB/STB
Depth (to top)	8,000 ft	Initial Pressure	4,265 psia
Net Pay (All units)*	290 ft	Temperature	159 ° F
Porosity		Bubble Point	2,800 psia
▪ Matrix (Avg)*	4.7%	Formation Compressibility	2.2 * e ⁻⁵ /psi
▪ Fracture	0.1%	Oil Gravity	39° API


The Study Area contains 7.6 million barrels of original oil in-place (OOIP) and 6.5 billion cubic feet (Bcf) of original gas in-place (OGIP).

Source: Advanced Resources International, 2019.


Type Well for Study Area

The “type oil well” in the Study Area has a spacing of 180 acres and a Hz lateral of 9,000 ft. It has an estimated 30-year recovery of 434,000 barrels of oil and 386,000 barrels of water, shown below.

Study Area Type Well Oil Production




Study Area Type Well Water Production



Source: Advanced Resources International, 2020.


Calibrating Well Performance with Completion Practices

A. SRV Dimensions and Permeability, Plan View



JAF2020_019.PPT

B. SRV Dimensions and Permeability, Side View



JAF2020_019.PPT

The shale oil and water recovery from the Study Area well was calibrated to well completion practices typical for the Wolfcamp Shale in the Midland Basin.

- Horizontal well with 9,000' lateral
- Spacing of 6 wells per 2 sections
- A stimulated reservoir volume (SRV) of 550' by 230'
- An enhanced permeability of 0.3 md in the SRV, with 0.0005 md in the matrix.

Recoverable Shale Oil Resources

Only an estimated 84.9 billion barrels of the massive shale oil resource in-place (~6.5%) is technically recoverable with current (primary depletion) practices.

Shale Basin/Formation	Resource In-Place (Billion Barrels)	Primary Recovery	
		(Billion Barrels)	(%)*
1. Williston Basin/Bakken Shale	90.8	10.0	11.1%
2. South Texas/Eagle Ford Shale	139.3	12.6	9.0%
3. Permian Basin			
• Midland Basin/Wolfcamp Shale	509.1	26.1	5.1%
• Delaware Basin/Wolfcamp Shale	575.7	36.2	6.3%
Total	1,314.9	84.9	6.5%

*Primary Recovery as a % of OOIP.

JAF2020_021.XLS

How Much Additional Oil Recovery and Storage of CO₂ Could be Achieved with Shale EOR?

3. Evaluating the Performance of Shale EOR Using Cyclic Injection of CO₂:

- Incorporate the reservoir properties and well completion practices from “history matching” well performance using primary (pressure depletion) oil recovery in the Study Area.
- Use the GEM Compositional Reservoir Simulator to model cyclic injection of high-pressure CO₂ for in the Study Area in each shale basin.
- Estimate volumes of incremental oil recovery and storage of CO₂ from Shale EOR using cyclic injection of CO₂.
- Extrapolate modeling results from each Study Area to each shale partition and basin.


Shale EOR Performance: Industry Information

EOG Resources’ Shale EOR Performance.

EOG has conducted cyclic gas injection in 150 wells in Eagle Ford Shale.

The company reported that their 32-well cyclic gas injection field project would add 30% to 70% to primary oil recovery, an uplift of 1.3 to 1.7x.

Primary versus Enhanced Oil Recovery: Eagle Ford Shale




Source: EOG Resources, 2017

Eagle Ford Shale EOR Field Tests and Projects

Three larger-scale cyclic gas injection EOR projects – Henkhaus, Mitchell and Baker Deforest - were conducted by EOG in the Eagle Ford Shale.

Oil production from the three projects (25 wells) reached 5,000 B/D in late 2016, declined to 4,000 B/D in late 2017, and averaged 1,600 B/D in 2018.

EOG Shale EOR Field Projects in Eagle Ford Shale



Eagle Ford Shale EOR Field Projects

Pilot	Year	County	# Wells in Pilot	# Wells in Lease
Henkhaus	2014	Gonzales	6	14
Mitchell	2015	Gonzales	7	14
Baker Deforest	2015	Gonzales	12	14


Source: Scott, T., 2019.

Martindale Unit Cyclic Gas Injection Pilot


To better understand the performance of cyclic gas EOR, we assembled data and assessed the performance of the Martindale Unit 4-well cyclic gas injection project in the Eagle Ford Shale.

The cyclic gas injection project provided 210,000 barrels of additional oil, an “uplift” of 1.36x to 580,000 barrels from primary oil recovery.

Oil Recovery from Primary and Cyclic Gas Injection – Average Martindale L&C Lease Well



Cumulative Oil Recovery from Primary and Cyclic Gas Injection for Four Martindale L&C Lease Wells




Source: Advanced Resources International, 2020

Reservoir Model for Shale EOR Study


GEM, a compositional EOS reservoir simulator with 7,290 grid blocks, was used to calculate the flow of three-phase, multi-component fluids through the shale reservoir using lithology data from log analysis and values from “history matching”.

Model Grid Blocks



Source: Advanced Resources International, 2020.


Reservoir Lithology



Not to scale

Enhanced Oil Recovery from Cyclic CO₂ Injection

Primary Production and Enhanced Oil Recovery from Cyclic CO₂ Injection



JAF2020_019.PPT


Source: Advanced Resources International, 2020

Cyclic CO₂ injection was initiated in the Study Area well after five years and 272,000 barrels of primary production, about two-thirds of its EUR.

- In cycle one, CO₂ was injected at 17 MMcfd for 2 months (BHP limit of 4,800 psia).
- CO₂ injection was followed by 2-weeks of soak and 6 months of production.
- Eleven additional cycles of CO₂ injection, soak and production.

Performance of Cyclic CO₂ Injection: Midland Wolfcamp Shale

Cumulative Oil Production from Primary and Cyclic CO₂ Injection



JAF2020_019.PPT

Source: Advanced Resources International, 2020.

The 12 cycles of CO₂ injection (over 8.5 years) provided 306,000 bbls of oil recovery (83,000 bbls from continuation of primary and 223,000 bbls from Shale EOR), in addition to 272,000 bbls from primary recovery at start of CO₂ injection.

Cyclic CO₂ injection provided an “uplift” of 1.63x over primary (pressure depletion) production in the Midland Wolfcamp Shale.

Performance of Cyclic CO₂ Injection: Other Shale Oil Basins

Reservoir simulation (using similar methodology) showed that cyclic CO₂ injection can also provide notable increases in oil recovery from the Eagle Ford Shale (1.62x uplift) and from the Bakken Shale (1.41x uplift).

Shale Formation	OOIP (Mbbbls)	Primary Recovery (Mbbbls)	Incremental Due to CO ₂ Injection	
			(Mbbbls)	“Uplift”
Eagle Ford	4,620	298	185	1.62x
Bakken	5,240	363	149	1.41x

Significant Potential of Shale EOR

While Shale EOR performed well in each of the basins, the Permian’s Midland and Delaware Basins accounted for the bulk of incremental oil recovery and CO₂ storage (36 billion bbls of additional oil and nearly 17 billion metric tons of new CO₂ storage capacity.)

Shale Basin/Formation	Incremental Oil Recovery from CO ₂ EOR (Billion Barrels)	Storage of CO ₂ (Gmt)
1. Williston Basin/Bakken Shale	3.7	1.5
2. South Texas/Eagle Ford Shale	7.6	1.8
3. Permian Basin		
• Midland Basin/Wolfcamp Shale	14.2	6.5
• Delaware Basin/Wolfcamp Shale	21.8	10.0
Total	47.5	19.9

JAF2020_021.XLS

Summary of Study Findings

The shale oil resource in-place is massive, estimated at 1,315 billion barrels. However, only a small portion - - 6.5% of this resource (85 billion barrels) - - is technically recoverable with current practices.

Use of Shale EOR would add 48 billion barrels, providing space for storing 20 billion metric tons of CO₂.

Shale Basin/Formation	Resource In-Place (Billion Barrels)	Primary Recovery		Incremental Oil Recovery from CO ₂ EOR (Billion Barrels)	Storage of CO ₂ (Gmt)
		(Billion Barrels)	(%)*		
1. Williston Basin/Bakken Shale	90.8	10.0	11.1%	3.7	1.5
2. South Texas/Eagle Ford Shale	139.3	12.6	9.0%	7.6	1.8
3. Permian Basin					
• Midland Basin/Wolfcamp Shale	509.1	26.1	5.1%	14.2	6.5
• Delaware Basin/Wolfcamp Shale	575.7	36.2	6.3%	21.8	10.0
Total	1,314.9	84.9	6.5%	47.5	19.9

*Primary Recovery as a % of OIIP.

JAF2020_021.XLS

Closing Comments

While driving record growth in domestic oil production, the aggressive development of shale oil has consumed much of the “core” (higher quality) areas in key shale oil basins:

- **Bakken Shale.** With over 10,000 wells drilled and placed on production, much of the central (“core”) area of the Bakken Shale has been consumed.
- **Eagle Ford Shale.** With nearly 20,000 wells on production, the oil-bearing portions of Eagle Ford Shale and its dominant Karnes Trough “core” area are rapidly becoming mature.
- **Permian Basin.** The massive number of rigs working the Midland and Delaware Basins, peaking at nearly 500 in early 2019, and the return to wider well spacings, have dramatically reduced the number of remaining undeveloped “core” area locations in this basin.

Given these observations, it is timely to pursue development and application of advanced Shale EOR technologies, if we are to maintain domestic oil production.

Acknowledgements

A portion of the information on resource characterization and reservoir modeling related to cyclic CO₂ EOR in shale oil basins is based on a series of reports previously prepared by Advanced Resources International, Inc. for the U.S. Department of Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory (U.S. DOE/NETL).



**Advanced
Resources
International**
www.adv-res.com

Office Locations
Washington, DC
4501 Fairfax Drive, Suite 910
Arlington, VA 22203
Phone: (703) 528-8420

Knoxville, TN
1210 Kenesaw Ave.
Suite 1210A
Knoxville, TN 37919-7736